

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. Г	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,265	·	02/02/2001	Mark A. Christopherson	P-9126.00	9662	
27581	7590	02/24/2004		EXAMINER		
MEDTRO	NIC, INC	.	MCCROSKY, DAVID J			
710 MEDT	RONIC PA	ARKWAY NE				
MS-LC340)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAR	OLIS, MI	N 55432-5604	3736	3-7		
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

Advisory Action Application No. ChristOpHicRSON ET AL.	<u>ه ري د</u>				
## Examiner David J. McCrosky David J. McCrosky J. McCrosky David J. McCrosky The Hall Into David J. McCrosky Davi			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner David J. McCrosky 3736		Advisory Action	09/776,265	CHRISTOPHERSON ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004. FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed damendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Mclice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Mclice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Mclice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Mclice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1149; (heck either a) or bi) PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or bi) The period for reply expires 3, months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or bi) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It is no even, however, will the statutory period for reply expires than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Or an even may be called the final rejection of the proper of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purpose of determining the period date of the final rejection, even if timely filed amount of the fee. The appropriate varieties of the final rejection, even if timely filed amount of the fee. The appropriate varieties of the final rejection, even if timely filed amount of the fee. The proposed amendment (s) will not be entered be			Examiner	Art Unit	
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Distribution of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and the status of the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY-GECK THIS SOX WHEAN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLOTION. See MPEP CHARLOW CAN PROPER IN THE REPLACE AND THE PILAD REPLACE OF THE FINAL REPLOTION. See MPEP CHARLOW CAN PROPER OF THE FINAL REPLACE OF A CONTRIBUTION CAN PROPER OF THE FINAL REPLACE OF THE PILAD			David J. McCrosky	3736	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application in final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) at imely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compiliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires or (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tee have dealed the proposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the experiation date of the shortender statutory period for reply originally set in the final origication; (2) as set forth in (b) adove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any same patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.191 (3)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on	T	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ress
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, on the content of the conte	Therefore, f final rejection condition for	urther action by the applicant is required to a in under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 r allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one vent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection; or ven if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1.		PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet. The appropriate extension tee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (3) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). 1	b) The no e ON	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire LY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	fee have been fee under 37 C (2) as set forth	filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office.	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final (opriate extension Office action; or
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2.⊠ The p	roposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(a) 🔲 t	hey raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) 🔲 t	hey raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) fifidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		•	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S.
 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: □ . Claim(s) objected to: □ . Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: □ . 8. □ The drawing correction filed on □ is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). □ .	3. Appli	cant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				idered but does NO	Γ place the
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8 The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8 The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					ind an
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8 The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The s	tatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) rejected: 8-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Clair	n(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Clair	n(s) objected to:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Clair	n(s) rejected: <u>8-22</u> .			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Clair	n(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	8 The c	Irawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
	9.☐ Note	the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	//	
				ERICE WINA PRIMARY EXA	- KUR MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 2. The amendment does not materially change the claims. Regarding the 112 1st paragraph rejection, the information remote monitor is disclosed as being a computer-based device and therefore runs software. A broad statement such as "in its entirety" does not meet "particular attention should be directed to specific portions of the referenced document where the subject matter being incorporated may be found." The language at col. 6, II. 35-39 in Taepke suggests, contrary to what is claimed, that the physician himself must correct the data on a personal computer. Contrary to Applicant's assertions, the quoted passage at p. 8, II. 23-30 of the specification implies that two separate data sets are transferred (EPR and Chronicle data) not corrected pressure data. Nowhere does the disclosure describe combining two separate sets before transfer. The specification merely states that after simultaneous downloading of two data sets, data is transferred.

Continuation of 5. Regarding the 103 obviousness rejection, Krichen et al teach multiple types of data format conversion and transfer beyond a memory dump format. The suggestion to combine comes from the references themselves. For example, Halperin teaches the transfer of data from a programmer to a computer (col. 6, Il. 22-28) and Krichen et al teach a connection which facilitates transfer of information between the programmer and computer (e.g. col. 11, Il. 57-59). Krichen et al teach a system for transferring data from a programmer (IRM), which has gathered data from an IMD, to a remote location for further review and, as a whole, teaches the desirability for such a system. See e.g. col. 1, Il. 60-67.